# Optimal rate of convergence for a nonlocal-to-local limit in one dimension

**Jakub Skrzeczkowski**
people.maths.ox.ac.uk/skrzeczkowsk

Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford

Joint work with
José A. Carrillo (Oxford), Charles Elbar (Lyon),
Stefano Fronzoni (Oxford), Piotr Gwiazda (Warsaw)

Granada, 19.09.2025

# The problem

**Target:** Quantify the rate of convergence $\rho^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \rho$ where

$$\partial_t \rho^\varepsilon - \partial_x(\rho^\varepsilon \, \partial_x \rho^\varepsilon * \omega_\varepsilon) = 0,$$

$$\partial_t \rho - \partial_x(\rho \, \partial_x \rho) = 0.$$

# The problem

**Target:** Quantify the rate of convergence $\rho^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \rho$ where

$$\partial_t \rho^\varepsilon - \partial_x(\rho^\varepsilon \, \partial_x \rho^\varepsilon * \omega_\varepsilon) = 0,$$

$$\partial_t \rho - \partial_x(\rho \, \partial_x \rho) = 0.$$

Here, $\omega_\varepsilon$ is a usual mollification kernel: $\omega_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\omega\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$ where $\omega \geq 0$, $\omega(x) = \omega(-x)$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \omega(x)\,\mathrm{d}x = 1$.

# The problem

**Target:** Quantify the rate of convergence $\rho^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \rho$ where

$$\partial_t \rho^\varepsilon - \partial_x(\rho^\varepsilon \, \partial_x \rho^\varepsilon * \omega_\varepsilon) = 0,$$

$$\partial_t \rho - \partial_x(\rho \, \partial_x \rho) = 0.$$

Here, $\omega_\varepsilon$ is a usual mollification kernel: $\omega_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\omega\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$ where $\omega \geq 0$, $\omega(x) = \omega(-x)$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \omega(x)\,\mathrm{d}x = 1$.

The problem is motivated by the interacting particle system: letting

$$X_i'(t) = -\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j \neq i} \partial_x \omega_\varepsilon(X_i(t) - X_j(t)),$$

we have that $\rho^{\varepsilon,N} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_i(t)}$ solves the nonlocal PDE.

# Some literature on this approximation

1. first works by Oelschläger (1990), P.-L. Lions and S. Mas-Galli
2. revisited from the gradient flow point of view: J. A. Carrillo, K. Craig, F. S. Patacchini (2019).
3. convergence for nonlinear diffusion: M. Burger, A. Esposito (2023), J. A. Carrillo, A. Esposito, J. S.-H. Wu (2024), S. Hecht, M. Doumic, B. Perthame, D. Peurichard (2024),
4. tissue growth models: T. Dębiec, B. Perthame, M. Schmidtchen, N. Vauchelet (2024), N. David, T. Dębiec, M. Mandal, M. Schmidtchen (2024), C. Elbar, J. Skrzeczkowski (2025),
5. linear diffusion: K. Craig, M. Jacobs, O. Turanova, (2023), J.A. Carrillo, A. Esposito, J. Skrzeczkowski, J. S.-H. Wu. (2024).
6. rate of convergence: A. Amassad, D. Zhou (2025), J.A. Carrillo, C. Elbar, S. Fronzoni, J. Skrzeczkowski (2025), J.A. Carrillo, P. Gwiazda, J. Skrzeczkowski (2025).

# Rate of convergence in one dimension

$$\partial_t \rho^\varepsilon - \partial_x(\rho^\varepsilon \, \partial_x \rho^\varepsilon * \omega_\varepsilon) = 0$$

$$\partial_t \rho - \partial_x(\rho \, \partial_x \rho) = 0$$

# Rate of convergence in one dimension

$$\partial_t \rho^\varepsilon - \partial_x(\rho^\varepsilon \, \partial_x \rho^\varepsilon * \omega_\varepsilon) = 0$$

$$\partial_t \rho - \partial_x(\rho \, \partial_x \rho) = 0$$

The first result given by Amassad and Zhou (2025) gives

$$W_2(\rho^\varepsilon, \rho) \leq C \sqrt{\varepsilon},$$

where $C$ depends on the norm of $\rho_0$ in $W^{1,\infty}$. In their case, $\omega$ is any kernel convex for $x > 0$ and $x < 0$.

# Rate of convergence in one dimension

$$\partial_t \rho^\varepsilon - \partial_x(\rho^\varepsilon \, \partial_x \rho^\varepsilon * \omega_\varepsilon) = 0$$

$$\partial_t \rho - \partial_x(\rho \, \partial_x \rho) = 0$$

The first result given by Amassad and Zhou (2025) gives

$$W_2(\rho^\varepsilon, \rho) \leq C \sqrt{\varepsilon},$$

where $C$ depends on the norm of $\rho_0$ in $W^{1,\infty}$. In their case, $\omega$ is any kernel convex for $x > 0$ and $x < 0$.

In a joint work with J. A. Carrillo, C. Elbar, S. Fronzoni we obtained the same for a particular kernel $\omega(x) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-|x|}$ where $C$ depends only on $L^\infty$ norm of $\rho_0$. The proof is more elementary, using only the definition of gradient flow.

# Strategy of the proof - gradient flow structure

Gradient flow on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is a curve solving

$$x'(t) = -\nabla F(x(t))$$

so $F$ decreases along this curve.

# Strategy of the proof - gradient flow structure

Gradient flow on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is a curve solving

$$x'(t) = -\nabla F(x(t))$$

so $F$ decreases along this curve.

One convenient formulation for convex $F$ not exploiting $\nabla F$ is EVI:

$$F(y) \geq F(x(t)) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}|x(t) - y|^2.$$

# Strategy of the proof - gradient flow structure

Gradient flow on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is a curve solving

$$x'(t) = -\nabla F(x(t))$$

so $F$ decreases along this curve.
One convenient formulation for convex $F$ not exploiting $\nabla F$ is EVI:

$$F(y) \geq F(x(t)) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}|x(t) - y|^2.$$

Similarly, a big class of PDEs of the form

$$\partial_t \rho = \mathrm{div}(\rho \nabla \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho}[\rho])$$

can be interpretted as a gradient flow on the space of probability measures $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ equipped with the 2-Wasserstein distance.

# Strategy of the proof - gradient flow structure

Gradient flow on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is a curve solving

$$x'(t) = -\nabla F(x(t))$$

so $F$ decreases along this curve.
One convenient formulation for convex $F$ not exploiting $\nabla F$ is EVI:

$$F(y) \geq F(x(t)) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}|x(t) - y|^2.$$

Similarly, a big class of PDEs of the form

$$\partial_t \rho = \mathrm{div}(\rho \nabla \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho}[\rho])$$

can be interpretted as a gradient flow on the space of probability measures $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ equipped with the 2-Wasserstein distance.

$$W_2(\mu, \nu) = \inf_{\gamma} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |x - y|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\gamma(x, y) \right\}^{1/2}$$

where $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\gamma(A \times \mathbb{R}^d) = \mu(A)$, $\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d \times B) = \nu(B)$.

# Strategy of the proof - gradient flow structure (2)

A 2-Wasserstein gradient flow of the functional $F$ on $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\partial_t \rho = \mathrm{div}(\rho \nabla \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho}[\rho])$$

# Strategy of the proof - gradient flow structure (2)

A 2-Wasserstein gradient flow of the functional $F$ on $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\partial_t \rho = \mathrm{div}(\rho \nabla \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho}[\rho])$$

The EVI for (geodesically convex) $F$:

$$F(\mu) \geq F(\rho_t) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} W_2^2(\rho_t, \mu).$$

# Strategy of the proof - gradient flow structure (2)

A 2-Wasserstein gradient flow of the functional $F$ on $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\partial_t \rho = \operatorname{div}(\rho \nabla \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho}[\rho])$$

The EVI for (geodesically convex) $F$:

$$F(\mu) \geq F(\rho_t) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} W_2^2(\rho_t, \mu).$$

For our PDEs in one dimension:

$$\partial_t \rho^\varepsilon - \partial_x(\rho^\varepsilon \, \partial_x \rho^\varepsilon * \omega_\varepsilon) = 0 \implies F_\varepsilon[\rho] = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho * \omega_\varepsilon \, \rho$$

$$\partial_t \rho - \partial_x(\rho \, \partial_x \rho) = 0 \implies F[\rho] = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^2.$$

# Strategy of the proof - gradient flow structure (3)

In one dimension, both $F_\varepsilon[\rho] = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho * \omega_\varepsilon \, \rho$ and $F[\rho] = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^2$ are convex. Hence,

$$F_\varepsilon(\rho_s) \geq F_\varepsilon(\rho_t^\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} W_2^2(\rho_t^\varepsilon, \rho_s),$$

$$F(\rho_s^\varepsilon) \geq F(\rho_t) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} W_2^2(\rho_t, \rho_s^\varepsilon).$$

# Strategy of the proof - gradient flow structure (3)

In one dimension, both $F_\varepsilon[\rho] = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho * \omega_\varepsilon \, \rho$ and $F[\rho] = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^2$ are convex. Hence,

$$F_\varepsilon(\rho_s) \geq F_\varepsilon(\rho_t^\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} W_2^2(\rho_t^\varepsilon, \rho_s),$$

$$F(\rho_s^\varepsilon) \geq F(\rho_t) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} W_2^2(\rho_t, \rho_s^\varepsilon).$$

Therefore, by chain rule and $F_\varepsilon[\rho] \leq F[\rho]$

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} W_2^2(\rho_t^\varepsilon, \rho_t) \leq F_\varepsilon(\rho_t) - F(\rho_t) + F(\rho_t^\varepsilon) - F_\varepsilon(\rho_t^\varepsilon) \leq F(\rho_t^\varepsilon) - F_\varepsilon(\rho_t^\varepsilon).$$

# Strategy of the proof - gradient flow structure (3)

In one dimension, both $F_\varepsilon[\rho] = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho * \omega_\varepsilon \, \rho$ and $F[\rho] = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^2$ are convex. Hence,

$$F_\varepsilon(\rho_s) \geq F_\varepsilon(\rho_t^\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} W_2^2(\rho_t^\varepsilon, \rho_s),$$

$$F(\rho_s^\varepsilon) \geq F(\rho_t) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} W_2^2(\rho_t, \rho_s^\varepsilon).$$

Therefore, by chain rule and $F_\varepsilon[\rho] \leq F[\rho]$

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} W_2^2(\rho_t^\varepsilon, \rho_t) \leq F_\varepsilon(\rho_t) - F(\rho_t) + F(\rho_t^\varepsilon) - F_\varepsilon(\rho_t^\varepsilon) \leq F(\rho_t^\varepsilon) - F_\varepsilon(\rho_t^\varepsilon).$$

For kernel $\omega(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-|x|}$ we have elliptic PDE $-\varepsilon^2 \partial_x^2 \omega_\varepsilon = \delta_0 - \omega_\varepsilon$

# Strategy of the proof - gradient flow structure (3)

In one dimension, both $F_\varepsilon[\rho] = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho * \omega_\varepsilon \, \rho$ and $F[\rho] = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^2$ are convex. Hence,

$$F_\varepsilon(\rho_s) \geq F_\varepsilon(\rho_t^\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} W_2^2(\rho_t^\varepsilon, \rho_s),$$

$$F(\rho_s^\varepsilon) \geq F(\rho_t) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} W_2^2(\rho_t, \rho_s^\varepsilon).$$

Therefore, by chain rule and $F_\varepsilon[\rho] \leq F[\rho]$

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} W_2^2(\rho_t^\varepsilon, \rho_t) \leq F_\varepsilon(\rho_t) - F(\rho_t) + F(\rho_t^\varepsilon) - F_\varepsilon(\rho_t^\varepsilon) \leq F(\rho_t^\varepsilon) - F_\varepsilon(\rho_t^\varepsilon).$$

For kernel $\omega(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-|x|}$ we have elliptic PDE $-\varepsilon^2 \partial_x^2 \omega_\varepsilon = \delta_0 - \omega_\varepsilon$ so

$$F(\rho_t^\varepsilon) - F_\varepsilon(\rho_t^\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_t^\varepsilon(\rho_t^\varepsilon - \rho_t^\varepsilon * \omega_\varepsilon) = -\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_t^\varepsilon \, (\varepsilon \, \partial_x^2 \rho_t^\varepsilon * \omega_\varepsilon).$$

# Strategy of the proof - gradient flow structure (3)

In one dimension, both $F_\varepsilon[\rho] = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho * \omega_\varepsilon \, \rho$ and $F[\rho] = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^2$ are convex. Hence,

$$F_\varepsilon(\rho_s) \geq F_\varepsilon(\rho_t^\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} W_2^2(\rho_t^\varepsilon, \rho_s),$$

$$F(\rho_s^\varepsilon) \geq F(\rho_t) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} W_2^2(\rho_t, \rho_s^\varepsilon).$$

Therefore, by chain rule and $F_\varepsilon[\rho] \leq F[\rho]$

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} W_2^2(\rho_t^\varepsilon, \rho_t) \leq F_\varepsilon(\rho_t) - F(\rho_t) + F(\rho_t^\varepsilon) - F_\varepsilon(\rho_t^\varepsilon) \leq F(\rho_t^\varepsilon) - F_\varepsilon(\rho_t^\varepsilon).$$

For kernel $\omega(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-|x|}$ we have elliptic PDE $-\varepsilon^2 \partial_x^2 \omega_\varepsilon = \delta_0 - \omega_\varepsilon$ so

$$F(\rho_t^\varepsilon) - F_\varepsilon(\rho_t^\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_t^\varepsilon(\rho_t^\varepsilon - \rho_t^\varepsilon * \omega_\varepsilon) = -\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_t^\varepsilon \, (\varepsilon \, \partial_x^2 \rho_t^\varepsilon * \omega_\varepsilon).$$

Simple a priori estimates for the nonlocal problem shows that $\{\rho_t^\varepsilon\}$ and $\{\varepsilon \, \partial_x^2 \rho_t^\varepsilon * \omega_\varepsilon\}$ are bounded in $L^2$.

# The rate $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ did not seem to be optimal





Figure: $\log \varepsilon$ on the $x$-axis

# New formula for $W_2$ along two gradient flows

Consider two nonlinear continuity equations

$$\partial_t \rho = \operatorname{div}(\rho \, v_1[\rho])$$

$$\partial_t \mu = \operatorname{div}(\mu \, v_2[\mu])$$

starting from the same initial condition.

# New formula for $W_2$ along two gradient flows

Consider two nonlinear continuity equations

$$\partial_t \rho = \operatorname{div}(\rho\, v_1[\rho])$$

$$\partial_t \mu = \operatorname{div}(\mu\, v_2[\mu])$$

starting from the same initial condition. Assuming that the second generates Lipschitz continuous semigroup $\mathcal{S}_t$ in $W_2$

$$W_2(\mathcal{S}_t\mu, \mathcal{S}_t\nu) \leq L\, W_2(\mu, \nu)$$

# New formula for $W_2$ along two gradient flows

Consider two nonlinear continuity equations

$$\partial_t \rho = \operatorname{div}(\rho\, v_1[\rho])$$

$$\partial_t \mu = \operatorname{div}(\mu\, v_2[\mu])$$

starting from the same initial condition. Assuming that the second generates Lipschitz continuous semigroup $\mathcal{S}_t$ in $W_2$

$$W_2(\mathcal{S}_t\mu, \mathcal{S}_t\nu) \leq L\, W_2(\mu, \nu)$$

and both equations have smooth velocity fields, we can prove (joint work with J.A. Carrillo, P. Gwiazda)

$$W_2(\rho_t, \mu_t) \leq L \int_0^t \left( \int_\Omega |v_1[\rho_s] - v_2[\rho_s]|^2\, \rho_s \,\mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/2} \mathrm{d}s.$$

# Advantages of the new formula

$$\partial_t \rho = \operatorname{div}(\rho\, v_1[\rho])$$
$$\partial_t \mu = \operatorname{div}(\mu\, v_2[\mu])$$
$$W_2(\rho_t, \mu_t) \leq L \int_0^t \left( \int_\Omega |v_1[\rho_s] - v_2[\rho_s]|^2 \, \rho_s \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/2} \mathrm{d}s.$$

# Advantages of the new formula

$$\partial_t \rho = \operatorname{div}(\rho\, v_1[\rho])$$
$$\partial_t \mu = \operatorname{div}(\mu\, v_2[\mu])$$
$$W_2(\rho_t, \mu_t) \leq L \int_0^t \left( \int_\Omega |v_1[\rho_s] - v_2[\rho_s]|^2 \, \rho_s \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/2} \mathrm{d}s.$$

The main advantage is that both velocity fields are evaluated on the same solution $\rho_s$.

# Advantages of the new formula

$$\partial_t \rho = \operatorname{div}(\rho\, v_1[\rho])$$
$$\partial_t \mu = \operatorname{div}(\mu\, v_2[\mu])$$
$$W_2(\rho_t, \mu_t) \leq L \int_0^t \left( \int_\Omega |v_1[\rho_s] - v_2[\rho_s]|^2 \, \rho_s \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/2} \mathrm{d}s.$$

The main advantage is that both velocity fields are evaluated on the same solution $\rho_s$.

In particular, it is easy to estimate (RHS) if $\rho_s$ is smooth like in the relative entropy method.

# Advantages of the new formula

$$\partial_t \rho = \operatorname{div}(\rho\, v_1[\rho])$$
$$\partial_t \mu = \operatorname{div}(\mu\, v_2[\mu])$$
$$W_2(\rho_t, \mu_t) \leq L \int_0^t \left( \int_\Omega |v_1[\rho_s] - v_2[\rho_s]|^2 \, \rho_s \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/2} \mathrm{d}s.$$

The main advantage is that both velocity fields are evaluated on the same solution $\rho_s$.

In particular, it is easy to estimate (RHS) if $\rho_s$ is smooth like in the relative entropy method.

Applications to stability analysis of PDEs with respect to parameter, for example for a general aggregation-diffusion equation

$$\partial_t \rho = \Delta \rho^m + \operatorname{div}(\rho \nabla V) + \operatorname{div}(\rho \nabla W * \rho)$$

we get Lipschitz continuity with respect to $m$, $V$ and $W$.

# Stability estimates for aggregation-diffusion

$$\partial_t \mu = \Delta \mu^m + \mathrm{div}(\mu \nabla V_1) + \mathrm{div}(\mu \nabla K_1 * \mu)$$

$$\text{velocity field: } v_1[\mu] = \frac{m}{m-1} \nabla \mu^{m-1} + \nabla V_1 + \nabla K_1 * \mu$$

# Stability estimates for aggregation-diffusion

$$\partial_t \mu = \Delta \mu^m + \operatorname{div}(\mu \nabla V_1) + \operatorname{div}(\mu \nabla K_1 * \mu)$$

$$\text{velocity field: } v_1[\mu] = \frac{m}{m-1} \nabla \mu^{m-1} + \nabla V_1 + \nabla K_1 * \mu$$

$$\partial_t \nu = \Delta \nu^n + \operatorname{div}(\nu \nabla V_2) + \operatorname{div}(\nu \nabla K_2 * \nu)$$

$$\text{velocity field: } v_2[\nu] = \frac{n}{n-1} \nabla \nu^{n-1} + \nabla V_2 + \nabla K_2 * \nu.$$

# Stability estimates for aggregation-diffusion

$$\partial_t \mu = \Delta \mu^m + \operatorname{div}(\mu \nabla V_1) + \operatorname{div}(\mu \nabla K_1 * \mu)$$

$$\text{velocity field: } v_1[\mu] = \frac{m}{m-1} \nabla \mu^{m-1} + \nabla V_1 + \nabla K_1 * \mu$$

$$\partial_t \nu = \Delta \nu^m + \operatorname{div}(\nu \nabla V_2) + \operatorname{div}(\nu \nabla K_2 * \nu)$$

$$\text{velocity field: } v_2[\nu] = \frac{n}{n-1} \nabla \nu^{n-1} + \nabla V_2 + \nabla K_2 * \nu.$$

Then, we get with $m < n$

$$W_2(\mu_t, \nu_t) \leq L \int_0^t \Big( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big[ \Big| \frac{m}{m-1} \nabla {\mu_s}^{m-1} - \frac{n}{n-1} \nabla {\mu_s}^{n-1} \Big|^2 + |\nabla (V_1 - V_2)|^2 + |\nabla (K_1 - K_2) * \mu_s|^2 \Big] \mu_s \Big)^{1/2} \mathrm{d}s$$

where

$$L \approx \exp\left( \left( \|\nabla^2 V_2\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla^2 K_2\|_{L^\infty} \right) t \right).$$

(known so far only without diffusion)

# The Bressan's formula


The starting point is the Bressan's formula: if $S$ is an $L$-Lipschitz semigroup corresponding to the solution $\mu_t$ and $\rho_t$ is any AC map

$$W_2(\rho_T, \mu_T) \leq L \int_0^T \liminf_{h \to 0} \frac{W_2(\mathcal{S}_h \rho_t, \rho_{t+h})}{h} \, \mathrm{d}t$$

# The Bressan's formula

The starting point is the Bressan's formula: if $S$ is an $L$-Lipschitz semigroup corresponding to the solution $\mu_t$ and $\rho_t$ is any AC map

$$W_2(\rho_T, \mu_T) \leq L \int_0^T \liminf_{h \to 0} \frac{W_2(\mathcal{S}_h \rho_t, \rho_{t+h})}{h} \, \mathrm{d}t$$



# Idea behind the proof of the new formula

The starting point is the Bressan formula: if $S$ is an autonomous semigroup corresponding to the solution $\mu_t$ and $\rho_t$ is any map

$$W_2(\rho_t, \mu_t) \leq \int_0^t \liminf_{h \to 0} \frac{W_2(\mathcal{S}_h \rho_t, \rho_{t+h})}{h} \, \mathrm{d}s$$

# Idea behind the proof of the new formula

The starting point is the Bressan formula: if $S$ is an autonomous semigroup corresponding to the solution $\mu_t$ and $\rho_t$ is any map

$$W_2(\rho_t, \mu_t) \leq \int_0^t \liminf_{h \to 0} \frac{W_2(\mathcal{S}_h \rho_t, \rho_{t+h})}{h} \, \mathrm{d}s$$

We assume that both continuity equations have smooth solutions (at least for some dense class of initial conditions).

# Idea behind the proof of the new formula

The starting point is the Bressan formula: if $S$ is an autonomous semigroup corresponding to the solution $\mu_t$ and $\rho_t$ is any map

$$W_2(\rho_t, \mu_t) \leq \int_0^t \liminf_{h \to 0} \frac{W_2(\mathcal{S}_h \rho_t, \rho_{t+h})}{h} \, \mathrm{d}s$$

We assume that both continuity equations have smooth solutions (at least for some dense class of initial conditions).

We consider a $W_2$ geodesic hopefully connecting $\mathcal{S}_h \rho_t$ and $\rho_{t+h}$

$$\partial_\tau \gamma_\tau + \operatorname{div}\left(\gamma_\tau \left(v_1[\rho_{t+\tau}] - v_2[\mathcal{S}_\tau \rho_t]\right)\right) = 0,$$
$$\rho_0^3 = \mathcal{S}_h \rho_t.$$

# Idea behind the proof of the new formula

The starting point is the Bressan formula: if $S$ is an autonomous semigroup corresponding to the solution $\mu_t$ and $\rho_t$ is any map

$$W_2(\rho_t, \mu_t) \leq \int_0^t \liminf_{h \to 0} \frac{W_2(\mathcal{S}_h \rho_t, \rho_{t+h})}{h} \, \mathrm{d}s$$

We assume that both continuity equations have smooth solutions (at least for some dense class of initial conditions).

We consider a $W_2$ geodesic hopefully connecting $\mathcal{S}_h \rho_t$ and $\rho_{t+h}$

$$\partial_\tau \gamma_\tau + \operatorname{div}\left(\gamma_\tau \left(v_1[\rho_{t+\tau}] - v_2[\mathcal{S}_\tau \rho_t]\right)\right) = 0,$$
$$\rho_0^3 = \mathcal{S}_h \rho_t.$$

By the Benamou-Brenier formula

$$\frac{W_2(\mathcal{S}_h \rho_t, \rho_{t+h})}{h} \approx \left[\frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \left|v_1[\rho_{t+\tau}] - v_2[\mathcal{S}_h \rho_t]\right|^2 \gamma_\tau \, \mathrm{d}\tau\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \text{error}(h),$$

where the error comes from the fact that $\rho_{t+h} \neq \gamma_h$.

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem

In one dimension, for any kernel $\omega_\varepsilon$ which is convex for $x > 0$ and $x < 0$, the nonlocal equation

$$\partial_t \rho^\varepsilon - \partial_x(\rho^\varepsilon \, \partial_x \rho^\varepsilon * \omega_\varepsilon) = 0$$

generates Lipschitz semigroup with constant $L = 1$.

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem

In one dimension, for any kernel $\omega_\varepsilon$ which is convex for $x > 0$ and $x < 0$, the nonlocal equation

$$\partial_t \rho^\varepsilon - \partial_x(\rho^\varepsilon \, \partial_x \rho^\varepsilon * \omega_\varepsilon) = 0$$

generates Lipschitz semigroup with constant $L = 1$. Hence,

$$W_2(\rho_t^\varepsilon, \rho_t) \leq L \int_0^t \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s - \partial_x \rho_s * \omega_\varepsilon|^2 \, \rho_s \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/2} \mathrm{d}s.$$

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem

In one dimension, for any kernel $\omega_\varepsilon$ which is convex for $x > 0$ and $x < 0$, the nonlocal equation

$$\partial_t \rho^\varepsilon - \partial_x(\rho^\varepsilon \, \partial_x \rho^\varepsilon * \omega_\varepsilon) = 0$$

generates Lipschitz semigroup with constant $L = 1$. Hence,

$$W_2(\rho_t^\varepsilon, \rho_t) \leq L \int_0^t \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s - \partial_x \rho_s * \omega_\varepsilon|^2 \, \rho_s \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/2} \mathrm{d}s.$$

Note that here $\rho_s$ solves $\partial_t \rho_s = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 \rho_s^2$ which enjoys quite a lot of regularity, even in the presence of vacuum.

# Regularity for the quadratic PME in 1D

$$\partial_t \rho_s = \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 \rho_s^2 = \rho_s \, \partial_x^2 \rho_s + |\partial_x \rho_s|^2$$

# Regularity for the quadratic PME in 1D

$$\partial_t \rho_s = \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 \rho_s^2 = \rho_s \, \partial_x^2 \rho_s + |\partial_x \rho_s|^2$$

- maximum principle: $\rho_s, \partial_x \rho_s \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$,

# Regularity for the quadratic PME in 1D

$$\partial_t \rho_s = \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 \rho_s^2 = \rho_s \, \partial_x^2 \rho_s + |\partial_x \rho_s|^2$$

- maximum principle: $\rho_s, \partial_x \rho_s \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$,
- Aronson-Benilian: $\partial_x^2 \rho_s \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ (or even $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ for approx.),

# Regularity for the quadratic PME in 1D

$$\partial_t \rho_s = \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 \rho_s^2 = \rho_s \, \partial_x^2 \rho_s + |\partial_x \rho_s|^2$$

- maximum principle: $\rho_s, \partial_x \rho_s \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$,
- Aronson-Benilian: $\partial_x^2 \rho_s \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ (or even $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ for approx.),
- $\sqrt{\rho_s}\, \partial_x^2 \rho_s \in L^2_{t,x}$ (by multiplying by $\partial_x^2 \rho_s$):

$$\partial_t \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_s \, |\partial_x^2 \rho_s|^2 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s|^2 \, \partial_x^2 \rho_s \in L^\infty_t$$

# Regularity for the quadratic PME in 1D

$$\partial_t \rho_s = \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 \rho_s^2 = \rho_s\, \partial_x^2 \rho_s + |\partial_x \rho_s|^2$$

- maximum principle: $\rho_s, \partial_x \rho_s \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$,
- Aronson-Benilian: $\partial_x^2 \rho_s \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ (or even $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ for approx.),
- $\sqrt{\rho_s}\, \partial_x^2 \rho_s \in L^2_{t,x}$ (by multiplying by $\partial_x^2 \rho_s$):

$$\partial_t \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_s\, |\partial_x^2 \rho_s|^2 = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s|^2\, \partial_x^2 \rho_s \in L^\infty_t$$

under assumptions on initial conditions:

$$\rho_0, \partial_x \rho_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \qquad |\partial_x^2 \rho_0|_- \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}).$$

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem (2)

$$W_2^2(\rho_t^\varepsilon, \rho_t) \leq t \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s - \partial_x \rho_s * \omega_\varepsilon|^2 \, \rho_s \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

$$\leq t \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \, \rho_s(x) \, \omega_\varepsilon(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}s$$

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem (2)

$$W_2^2(\rho_t^\varepsilon, \rho_t) \leq t \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s - \partial_x \rho_s * \omega_\varepsilon|^2 \, \rho_s \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

$$\leq t \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \, \rho_s(x) \, \omega_\varepsilon(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}s$$

Target: control the (RHS) by $C\,\varepsilon^2$.

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem (2)

$$W_2^2(\rho_t^\varepsilon, \rho_t) \leq t \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s - \partial_x \rho_s * \omega_\varepsilon|^2 \, \rho_s \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}s.$$
$$\leq t \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \, \rho_s(x) \, \omega_\varepsilon(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}s$$

Target: control the (RHS) by $C\,\varepsilon^2$.
Idea:

$$|\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \approx |\partial_x^2 \rho_s(x)|^2 \, |y|^2 \qquad (*)$$

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem (2)

$$W_2^2(\rho_t^\varepsilon, \rho_t) \leq t \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s - \partial_x \rho_s * \omega_\varepsilon|^2 \, \rho_s \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}s.$$
$$\leq t \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \, \rho_s(x) \, \omega_\varepsilon(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}s$$

Target: control the (RHS) by $C\,\varepsilon^2$.
Idea:

$$|\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \approx |\partial_x^2 \rho_s(x)|^2 \, |y|^2 \qquad (*)$$

- the term $\omega_\varepsilon(y)\,|y|^2$ gives $\varepsilon^2$

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem (2)

$$W_2^2(\rho_t^\varepsilon, \rho_t) \leq t \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s - \partial_x \rho_s * \omega_\varepsilon|^2 \, \rho_s \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}s.$$
$$\leq t \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \, \rho_s(x) \, \omega_\varepsilon(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}s$$

Target: control the (RHS) by $C\,\varepsilon^2$.
Idea:

$$|\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \approx |\partial_x^2 \rho_s(x)|^2 \, |y|^2 \qquad (*)$$

- the term $\omega_\varepsilon(y)\,|y|^2$ gives $\varepsilon^2$
- the term $\rho_s\,\partial_x^2 \rho_s$ controlled by the estimate on $\sqrt{\rho_s}\partial_x^2 \rho_s$ in $L^2$

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem (2)

$$W_2^2(\rho_t^\varepsilon, \rho_t) \leq t \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s - \partial_x \rho_s * \omega_\varepsilon|^2 \, \rho_s \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}s.$$
$$\leq t \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \, \rho_s(x) \, \omega_\varepsilon(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}s$$

Target: control the (RHS) by $C\,\varepsilon^2$.
Idea:

$$|\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \approx |\partial_x^2 \rho_s(x)|^2 \, |y|^2 \qquad (*)$$

- the term $\omega_\varepsilon(y)\,|y|^2$ gives $\varepsilon^2$
- the term $\rho_s\,\partial_x^2 \rho_s$ controlled by the estimate on $\sqrt{\rho_s}\partial_x^2 \rho_s$ in $L^2$

Difficulty: in $(*)$, $|\partial_x^2 \rho_s|^2$ will not be evaluated at $x$!

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem (3)

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left|\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)\right|^2 \rho_s(x)\, \omega_\varepsilon(y)\, \mathrm{d}x\, \mathrm{d}y\, \mathrm{d}s$$

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem (3)

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \, \rho_s(x) \, \omega_\varepsilon(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}s$$

We split for two sets:

$$A := \{(s,x,y) : \rho_s(x) \leq 2 \, \|\partial_x \rho_0\|_{L^\infty} \, |y|\},$$
$$B := \{(s,x,y) : \rho_s(x) > 2 \, \|\partial_x \rho_0\|_{L^\infty} \, |y|\},$$

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem (3)

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \, \rho_s(x) \, \omega_\varepsilon(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}s$$

We split for two sets:

$$A := \{(s,x,y) : \rho_s(x) \leq 2 \, \|\partial_x \rho_0\|_{L^\infty} \, |y|\},$$
$$B := \{(s,x,y) : \rho_s(x) > 2 \, \|\partial_x \rho_0\|_{L^\infty} \, |y|\},$$

On $A$ we estimate ($x$, $y$, $s$ integrals not written)

$$2\|\partial_x \rho_0\|_{L^\infty} \left( \int_0^1 |\partial_x^2 \rho_s(x-\theta y)| \, |y| \, \mathrm{d}\theta \right) 2 \, \|\partial_x \rho_0\|_{L^\infty} \, |y| \, \omega_\varepsilon(y)$$

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem (3)

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \, \rho_s(x) \, \omega_\varepsilon(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}s$$

We split for two sets:

$$A := \{(s,x,y) : \rho_s(x) \leq 2 \, \|\partial_x \rho_0\|_{L^\infty} \, |y|\},$$
$$B := \{(s,x,y) : \rho_s(x) > 2 \, \|\partial_x \rho_0\|_{L^\infty} \, |y|\},$$

On $A$ we estimate ($x$, $y$, $s$ integrals not written)

$$2\|\partial_x \rho_0\|_{L^\infty} \left( \int_0^1 |\partial_x^2 \rho_s(x-\theta y)| \, |y| \, \mathrm{d}\theta \right) 2 \, \|\partial_x \rho_0\|_{L^\infty} \, |y| \, \omega_\varepsilon(y)$$

After Fubini

$$4t \, \|\partial_x \rho_0\|_{L^\infty}^2 \, \|\partial_x^2 \rho_s\|_{L^1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^2 \omega_\varepsilon(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \leq$$

$$\leq 8t \, \|\partial_x \rho_0\|_{L^\infty}^2 \, \| |\partial_x^2 \rho_0|^- \|_{L^1} \, \varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^2 \omega(y) \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem (4)

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left|\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)\right|^2 \rho_s(x)\, \omega_\varepsilon(y)\, \mathrm{d}x\, \mathrm{d}y\, \mathrm{d}s$$

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem (4)

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \, \rho_s(x) \, \omega_\varepsilon(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$B := \{(s,x,y) : \rho_s(x) > 2 \, \|\partial_x \rho_0\|_{L^\infty} \, |y|\},$$

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem (4)

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \, \rho_s(x) \, \omega_\varepsilon(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$B := \{(s,x,y) : \rho_s(x) > 2 \, \|\partial_x \rho_0\|_{L^\infty} \, |y|\},$$

We estimate

$$|\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \leq \int_0^1 |\partial_x^2 \rho_s(x-\theta y)|^2 \, |y|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\theta$$

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem (4)

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \, \rho_s(x) \, \omega_\varepsilon(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$B := \{(s,x,y) : \rho_s(x) > 2 \, \|\partial_x \rho_0\|_{L^\infty} \, |y|\},$$

We estimate

$$|\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \leq \int_0^1 |\partial_x^2 \rho_s(x-\theta y)|^2 \, |y|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\theta$$

To use bound on $\sqrt{\rho_s}\partial_x^2 \rho_s$, we need to change $\rho_s(x) \to \rho_s(x-\theta y)$.

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem (4)

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \, \rho_s(x) \, \omega_\varepsilon(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$B := \{(s,x,y) : \rho_s(x) > 2 \, \|\partial_x \rho_0\|_{L^\infty} \, |y|\},$$

We estimate

$$|\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \leq \int_0^1 |\partial_x^2 \rho_s(x-\theta y)|^2 \, |y|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\theta$$

To use bound on $\sqrt{\rho_s}\partial_x^2 \rho_s$, we need to change $\rho_s(x) \to \rho_s(x-\theta y)$.

$$\frac{\rho_s(x)}{\rho_s(x-\theta y)} = \frac{\rho_s(x) - \rho_s(x-\theta y)}{\rho_s(x-\theta y)} + 1 \leq \frac{\theta \, |y| \, \|\partial_x \rho_0\|_{L^\infty}}{\rho_s(x-\theta y)} + 1$$

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem (4)

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \, \rho_s(x) \, \omega_\varepsilon(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$B := \{(s,x,y) : \rho_s(x) > 2 \, \|\partial_x \rho_0\|_{L^\infty} \, |y|\},$$

We estimate

$$|\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \leq \int_0^1 |\partial_x^2 \rho_s(x-\theta y)|^2 \, |y|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\theta$$

To use bound on $\sqrt{\rho_s}\partial_x^2 \rho_s$, we need to change $\rho_s(x) \to \rho_s(x-\theta y)$.

$$\frac{\rho_s(x)}{\rho_s(x-\theta y)} = \frac{\rho_s(x) - \rho_s(x-\theta y)}{\rho_s(x-\theta y)} + 1 \leq \frac{\theta \, |y| \, \|\partial_x \rho_0\|_{L^\infty}}{\rho_s(x-\theta y)} + 1$$

$$\rho_s(x-\theta y) \geq \rho_s(x) - \theta \, |y| \, \|\partial_x \rho_0\|_{L^\infty} \geq |y| \, \|\partial_x \rho_0\|_{L^\infty}.$$

so we obtain that $\rho_s(x)$ and $\rho_s(x-\theta y)$ are the same, up to a numerical constant.

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem (5)

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left|\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)\right|^2 \rho_s(x)\, \omega_\varepsilon(y)\, \mathrm{d}x\, \mathrm{d}y\, \mathrm{d}s$$

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem (5)

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \, \rho_s(x) \, \omega_\varepsilon(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}s$$

Changing $\rho_s(x) \to \rho_s(x-\theta y)$ and estimating the difference of derivatives

$$\int_0^1 |\partial_x^2 \rho_s(x-\theta y)|^2 \, |y|^2 \rho_s(x-\theta y) \, \omega_\varepsilon(y)$$

# Application of the new formula to the limit problem (5)

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s(x-y) - \partial_x \rho_s(x)|^2 \, \rho_s(x) \, \omega_\varepsilon(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}s$$

Changing $\rho_s(x) \to \rho_s(x-\theta y)$ and estimating the difference of derivatives

$$\int_0^1 |\partial_x^2 \rho_s(x-\theta y)|^2 \, |y|^2 \rho_s(x-\theta y) \, \omega_\varepsilon(y)$$

Integrating

$$\|\sqrt{\rho_s}\partial_x^2 \rho_s\|_{L^2_{t,x}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^2 \, \omega_\varepsilon(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \leq \varepsilon^2 \|\sqrt{\rho_s}\partial_x^2 \rho_s\|_{L^2_{t,x}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^2 \, \omega(y) \, \mathrm{d}y$$

concludes the proof.

# Difficulty when applying the formula

We stated

$$W_2(\rho_t^\varepsilon, \rho_t) \leq L \int_0^t \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s - \partial_x \rho_s * \omega_\varepsilon|^2 \rho_s \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/2} \mathrm{d}s.$$

# Difficulty when applying the formula

We stated

$$W_2(\rho_t^\varepsilon, \rho_t) \leq L \int_0^t \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s - \partial_x \rho_s * \omega_\varepsilon|^2 \rho_s \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/2} \mathrm{d}s.$$

In fact, it is not possible to apply our general formula in this form:

- to regularize velocity field for the PME, we need to work on a bounded domain (we cannot add a constant),
- nonlocal problem does not like the bounded domain (accumulation at the boundary) so we need to work on the whole space.

# Difficulty when applying the formula

We stated

$$W_2(\rho_t^\varepsilon, \rho_t) \leq L \int_0^t \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \rho_s - \partial_x \rho_s * \omega_\varepsilon|^2 \rho_s \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/2} \mathrm{d}s.$$

In fact, it is not possible to apply our general formula in this form:

- to regularize velocity field for the PME, we need to work on a bounded domain (we cannot add a constant),
- nonlocal problem does not like the bounded domain (accumulation at the boundary) so we need to work on the whole space.

In fact, we prove using our formula

$$W_2(\rho_t^\varepsilon, \rho_t^\delta) \leq \int_0^t \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \partial_x \rho_s^\delta * \omega_\delta - \partial_x \rho_s^\delta * \omega_\varepsilon \right|^2 \rho_s^\delta \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/2} \mathrm{d}s.$$

and we pass to the limit $\delta \to 0$.

# Literature


1. J.A. Carrillo, P. Gwiazda, J. Skrzeczkowski,
   *A new semigroup formula for the Wasserstein distance between two Wasserstein gradient flows.*
   In preparation, 2025+.

2. J. A. Carrillo, C. Elbar, S. Fronzoni, and J. Skrzeczkowski. *The nonlocal-to-local limit approximating quadratic porous medium equation: rate of convergence via evolutionary variational inequality in one dimension.*
   arXiv: 2505.07015, 2025.

# THANK YOU!